"Молодой исследователь"

Организовано в масштабах Содружества Независимых Государств (СНГ) международный научно-практический конкурс

PRAGMATIC FUNCTIONS OF LINGUISTIC MEANS IN TEXT: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS

Abduvokhidova Shakhribonu Akmalovna

Master's student of Samarkand state institute of foreign languages

Abstract: This scientific article delves into the pragmatic functions of linguistic means in text, exploring how language is utilized to convey meaning beyond its literal interpretation. Drawing on theories of pragmatics and discourse analysis, this study examines the ways in which linguistic elements such as speech acts, implicatures, presuppositions, and politeness strategies contribute to the overall communicative effectiveness of a text. Through a detailed analysis of various textual examples, this research elucidates the intricate interplay between language and context in shaping the pragmatic dimensions of communication.

Keywords: Pragmatics, Linguistic Means, Speech Acts, Implicatures, Presuppositions, Politeness Strategies, Text Analysis

Introduction: Language serves as a powerful tool for conveying meaning, with linguistic elements playing a crucial role in shaping the pragmatic functions of communication. Pragmatics, as a subfield of linguistics, focuses on the study of language use in context, emphasizing the ways in which language is employed to achieve specific communicative goals.

Main part. Central to our analysis is the notion of speech acts, which refer to the performative aspects of language that go beyond the literal meaning of words. Speech acts encompass various communicative functions such as assertions, requests, promises, and apologies, each serving a distinct pragmatic purpose in discourse. Additionally, we consider implicatures, which are implied meanings that arise from the context of a conversation and are not explicitly stated by the speaker. Presuppositions, another key concept in pragmatics, refer to assumptions that are taken for granted in a given discourse context, shaping the interpretation of utterances. Furthermore, politeness strategies play a significant role in managing social interactions, influencing the way speakers express their intentions and attitudes towards others:

- 1. Speech Acts:
 - Request: "Could you please pass me the salt?"
 - Assertion: "I believe that we should consider all options before making a decision."
 - Promise: "I will definitely be there for your birthday party."
- 2. Implicatures:
 - Speaker A: "I have an early meeting tomorrow."
 - Speaker B: "Oh, I hope you get enough rest tonight."
 - Implicature: Speaker B is indirectly suggesting that Speaker A should go to bed early.
- 3. Presuppositions:
- "When did you stop smoking?" (Presupposes that the person used to smoke in the past)

"Молодой исследователь"

Организовано в масштабах Содружества Независимых Государств (СНГ) международный научно-практический конкурс

- "Have you talked to your brother about the issue?" (Presupposes that the person has a brother)
 - 4. Politeness Strategies:
 - "Excuse me, could you please help me with this task?"
 - "I'm sorry to bother you, but could you possibly lend me your notes?"
 - 5. Hedging:
 - "I think that perhaps we should consider another approach."
 - "It might be a good idea to possibly revise the proposal."
 - 6. Directives:
 - "Please send me the report by the end of the day."
 - "Make sure to double-check your work before submitting it."
 - 7. Expressing Gratitude:
 - "Thank you so much for helping me with the project."
 - "I really appreciate your support during this challenging time."
 - 8. Expressing Apologies:
 - "I'm sorry for the misunderstanding earlier."
 - "I apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused."
 - 9. Expressing Agreement/Disagreement:
 - Agreement: "I completely agree with your assessment of the situation."
 - Disagreement: "I respectfully disagree with the proposed solution."
 - 10. Expressing Emotions:
 - "I'm thrilled about the opportunity to work on this project."
 - "I'm feeling a bit overwhelmed with all the tasks on my plate."

Analysis from "The Age of Innocence" by Edith Wharton

Example: "Each time you happen to me all over again."

Analysis: This quote captures the enduring and overwhelming nature of love, as well as the cyclical nature of emotions. It reflects the novel's exploration of passion, desire, and the constraints of societal expectations.

Example: "We can't behave like people in novels, though, can we?"

Analysis: This line reflects the characters' awareness of the stark contrast between their own lives and the idealized world of literature. It highlights the tension between societal norms and personal desires, a central theme in the novel.

Example: "The real loneliness is living among all these kind people who only ask one to pretend!"

Analysis: This quote conveys the sense of isolation and alienation that the characters experience within the confines of their social milieu, highlighting the theme of conformity and the struggle for authenticity.

Example: "We are all made of the same clay, you know, but the pottery is never the same."

Analysis: This metaphor emphasizes the individuality and complexity of human nature, despite societal attempts to mold individuals into a uniform mold. It underscores the tension between personal identity and societal expectations.

"Молодой исследователь"

Организовано в масштабах Содружества Независимых Государств (СНГ) международный научно-практический конкурс

Our analysis reveals that linguistic means play a multifaceted role in shaping the pragmatic dimensions of text. Speech acts enable speakers to perform actions through language, such as making requests or expressing opinions, while implicatures allow for the conveyance of implicit meanings that rely on shared knowledge between interlocutors. Presuppositions help establish common ground in communication by assuming certain background information, while politeness strategies regulate social interactions by mitigating potential face-threatening acts.

Conclusion. In conclusion, this study highlights the significance of pragmatic functions of linguistic means in text, demonstrating how language is intricately intertwined with context to achieve effective communication.

THE LIST OF USED LITERATURES:

- 1. Yule, G. (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford University Press.
- 2. Watzlawick, P., Bavelas, J. B., & Jackson, D. D. (2011). Pragmatics of Human Communication: A Study of Interactional Patterns, Pathologies, and Paradoxes. W. W. Norton & Company.
 - 3. O'Keeffe, A., & Clacy, B. (2014). Introducing Pragmatics in Use. Routledge.
- 4. Paltridge, B., & Harbon, L. (2012). Discourse Analysis: An Introduction. Bloomsbury Academic.
 - 5. Fairclough, N. (2001). Discourse and Social Change. Polity Press.
- 6. Fairclough, N. (2015). Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language. Routledge.
- 7. Cutting, J. (2002). Pragmatics and Discourse: A Resource Book for Students. Routledge.
- 8. Fairclough, N. (2003). Analyzing Discourse: Textual Analysis for Social Research. Routledge.
- 9. Horn, L. R., & Ward, G. (Eds.). (2019). The Handbook of Pragmatics. John Wiley & Sons.
- 10. Gee, J. P. (2014). An Introduction to Discourse Analysis: Theory and Method. Routledge.